Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic temperature factors $(Å^2)$ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

	$U_{ m eq}$	$= \frac{1}{3} \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* a_j$	$a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$.	
	x	у	z	$U_{\rm eq}$
O(1)	0.1762 (2)	0.2957 (2)	0.6906 (1)	0.0251
O(2)	0.2936 (2)	0.1747 (2)	0.5382(1)	0.0282
N(1)	-0.0399 (2)	0.4862 (2)	0.8453 (1)	0.0257
C(1)	0.1673 (2)	0.2368 (2)	0.5901 (1)	0.0181
C(2)	0.0000	0.2500	0.5254 (2)	0.0162
C(3)	0.0159 (3)	0.3401 (2)	0.4109 (2)	0.0260
H(1a)	-0.098 (3)	0.424 (3)	0.899 (2)	0.051 (3)
H(1b)	-0.136 (3)	0.558 (3)	0.811 (2)	0.051 (3)
H(1c)	0.045 (3)	0.549 (3)	0.879 (2)	0.051 (3)
H(1d)	0.010 (3)	0.421 (3)	0.782 (2)	0.051 (3)
H(3a)	0.138 (3)	0.381 (3)	0.391 (2)	0.051 (3)
H(3b)	-0.080(3)	0.420 (3)	0.390 (2)	0.051 (3)
		† Isotropic U		

 Table 2. Interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°) with
 e.s.d.'s in parentheses

O(1)—C(1) O(2)—C(1) C(1)—C(2)	1.259 (2) 1.256 (2) 1.505 (2)	C(2)—C(3) C(3)—C(3')	1.517 (3) 1.474 (4)
$\begin{array}{c} O(2) - C(1) - O(1) \\ C(2) - C(1) - O(1) \\ C(2) - C(1) - O(2) \\ C(1) - C(2) - C(1^{i}) \end{array}$	123.3 (2) 118.7 (2) 117.9 (1) 120.4 (2)	$\begin{array}{c} C(3) & - C(2) & - C(1^{i}) \\ C(3) & - C(2) & - C(1) \\ C(3) & - C(2) & - C(3^{i}) \\ C(3) & - C(3^{i}) & - C(2) \end{array}$	118.1 (1) 113.4 (1) 58.1 (2) 60.93 (8)
Hydrogen bonds O(1)…N(1) O(1)…H(1 <i>a</i>) O(1)…H(1 <i>a</i>)—N(1)	2.897 (2) 1.95 (3) 161 (1)	$O(2) \cdots N(1^{iii})$ $O(2) \cdots H(1a^{iii})$ $O(2) \cdots H(1a^{iii}) - N(1^{iii})$	2.890 (2) 1.99 (3) 168 (2)
$O(1) \cdots N(1^{ii})$ $O(1) \cdots H(1b^{ii})$ $O(1) \cdots H(1b^{ii}) - N(1^{ii})$	2.855 (2) 1.88 (3) 158 (2)	$\begin{array}{l} O(2) \cdots N(1^{iv}) \\ O(2) \cdots H(1c^{iv}) \\ O(2) \cdots H(1c^{iv}) - N(1^{iv}) \end{array}$	2.794 (2) 1.87 (3) 175 (2)
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z$; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} + x, 1 - y, \frac{3}{2} - z$; (iii) $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $\frac{1}{2} - y, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - z$.			

Colourless needles of the compound were crystallized from water/ethanol at 277 K. The structure was solved by direct methods (*SHELXS*86; Sheldrick, 1986) and refined by full-matrix least squares.

We thank Professor J. C. Chottard for helpful discussions.

Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 55269 (5 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: PA1005]

References

- Bitha, P., Child, R. G., Hlavka, J. J., Lang, S. A. Jr & Lin, Y. (1988). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 151, 89–93.
- Bondi, A. (1964). J. Chem. Phys. 68, 441-451.
- Carruthers, J. R. & Watkin, D. W. (1985). CRYSTALS. Advanced crystallographic computer program. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, Univ. of Oxford, England.
- Jaulmes, S., Laruelle, P. & Fabrègue, E. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 238-241.
- McQuillin, F. J. (1972). Alicyclic Chemistry, p. 13. Cambridge Univ. Press.
- Meester, M. A. M., Schenk, H. & MacGillavry, C. H. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 630-634.

0108-2701/92/112096-03\$06.00

- Neidle, S., Ismail, M. I. & Sadler, P. J. (1980). J. Inorg. Biochem. 13, 205-212.
- Pajunen, A. & Pajunen, S. (1979). Acta Cryst. B35, 2401-2403.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1986). SHELXS86. Program for the solution of crystal structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Soltzberg, L. & Margulis, T. N. (1971). J. Chem. Phys. 55, 4907-4913.

Acta Cryst. (1992). C48, 2096–2098

Structure of the 1:1 Complex of 6,6'-Diquinolyl Ether with 5,5-Diethylbarbituric Acid

LIU HAIXIN AND WANG XIN*

Analysis and Research Centre, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China

ZHANG XINMIN

Department of Pharmacy, Lanzhou Medical College, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China

(Received 19 November 1991; accepted 30 July 1992)

Abstract

The crystal structure shows that barbital (5,5-diethylbarbituric acid) is bonded to 6,6'-diquinolyl ether by two short NH···N hydrogen bonds with N···N 2.88 Å. This arrangement is similar to those reported for hydrogen bonds in the molecular complexes of barbiturates.

Comment

Barbiturates are widely used medicinally and are among the most frequently encountered drugs for the treatment of epilepsy. This class of antiepileptic drug is of special interest since many of them and their active metabolites form metal complexes. Various metal complexes of barbiturates have been synthesized and studied (Caira, Fazakerley, Linder & Nassimbeni, 1973; Pezeshk, Greenaway & Sorenson, 1983). A series of crystal structure determinations of molecular complexes of barbiturates with other molecules, such as 9-ethyladenine (Voet & Rich, 1972; Voet, 1972), caffeine (Craven & Gartland, 1970), aminopyrine (Kiryu, 1971), urea (Gartland & Craven, 1974), acetamide (Hsu & Craven, 1974a), salicylamide (Hsu & Craven, 1974b) and imidazole (Hsu & Craven, 1974c), have been reported. In crystal complexes of barbiturates with these ligands there are stronger NH···O--C and NH···N hydrogen bonds between the two components than are found in the crystal structures of the individual components.

© 1992 International Union of Crystallography

Quinoline is one of the ligands often used in coordination chemistry. Zhang and co-workers synthesized 6,6'diquinolyl ether and described its structure (Zhang, Tan & Li, 1986; Wang, Zhang & Kong, 1988). It was found that 6,6'-diquinolyl ether is an important ligand in the syntheses of some complexes with biomedical activity. The present paper reports an X-ray diffraction study of the crystal structure of the molecular addition compound of 6,6'-diquinolyl ether with barbital (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. An ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) drawing of the molecular structure.

In the quinolyl ring, the C—C bond lengths are between 1.352 and 1.419 Å, average 1.392 Å. The C—N bond lengths are between 1.314 and 1.367 Å, average 1.340 Å. The C—H bond lengths are in the expected range 0.93-1.01 Å. The average value of the C—O bond length is 1.394 Å; the C6—O—C16 bond angle is 117.5°. The above results are similar to those for the 6,6'-diquinolyl ether hydrate (Wang, Zhang & Kong, 1988). All atoms for each quinolyl ring are coplanar.

The 6,6'-diquinolyl ether molecule adopts a conformation with a dihedral angle of 108.8° between the two quinolyl rings.

The C30 atom in the ethyl group of the barbital molecule has abnormally high thermal parameters suggesting that it is disordered.

Strong intermolecular N1···H—N25 (N···N 2.88, N···H 1.90, H—N 0.99 Å, N···H—N 178°) and N11···H—N23 (N···N 2.88, N···H 1.95, H—N 0.94 Å, N···H—N 173°) hydrogen bonds occur. N11 is hydrogen bonded to N23 of the barbital of the same asymmetric unit while N1 is hydrogen bonded to N25 of the barbital of the asymmetric unit at $\frac{1}{2} + x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, $-\frac{1}{2} + z$. Thus barbital is linked with 6,6'-diquinolyl ether to form chains by the NH···N hydrogen bonds in which the barbital NH groups are donors and 6,6'-diquinolyl ether N atoms are acceptors (Hsu & Craven, 1974*a*). The dihedral angles between the planes of the two quinolyl rings and the barbital molecule of the same asymmetric unit are 105.12 and 5.23°, respectively.

Experimental

Crystal data	
$C_{26}H_{24}N_4O_4$	Z = 8
$M_r = 456.51$	$D_x = 1.315 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

C2/c	
a = 24.565 (2) Å	
<i>b</i> = 17.750 (2) Å	
c = 10.959 (3) Å	
β = 105.24 (3)°	
$V = 4610.4 \text{ Å}^3$	

Data collection CAD-4 four-circle diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: empirical $T_{min} = 0.925, T_{max} =$ 1.000 5384 measured reflections 4548 independent reflections

Refinement

01

02 03

04

N1

N11 N23

N25

C2 C3

C4

C5 C6

C7

C8

C9 C10

C12

C13

C14 C15

C16

C17

C18 C19 C20 C21

C22 C24 C26 C27 C28 C29 C30

Refinement on F	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}$ = 0.32 e Å ⁻³
Final $R = 0.052$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ = -0.40 e Å ⁻³
wR = 0.047	Extinction correction: none
5 = 3.75	Atomic scattering factors
2657 reflections	from International Tables
379 parameters	for X-ray Crystallography
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.60$	(1974, Vol. IV)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters (Å²)

$$B_{cq} = (4/3)[a^2B(1,1)+b^2B(2,2)+c^2B(3,3)+ab(\cos\gamma)B(1,2) +ac(\cos\beta)B(1,3)+bc(\cos\alpha)B(2,3)].$$

х	у	z	B_{eq}
0.54456 (9)	0.1666 (2)	0.0807 (2)	5.66 (6)
0.0831(1)	0.1581 (2)	0.0483 (3)	7.56 (7)
0.1826(1)	0.0204 (2)	-0.1932 (3)	7.96 (8)
0.2717(1)	0.1735 (2)	0.1222 (3)	7.99 (8)
0.6912(1)	0.2277 (2)	-0.2129 (2)	4.27 (6)
0.3260(1)	0.0670 (2)	-0.1173 (2)	3.99 (6)
0.2259 (1)	0.0959 (2)	-0.0341(3)	5.05 (7)
0.1769 (1)	0.1643 (2)	0.0853 (3)	5.05 (7)
0.7431(1)	0.2018 (2)	-0.1774 (3)	4.77 (8)
0.7635(1)	0.1567 (2)	-0.0702(4)	5.17 (9)
0.7293 (1)	0.1392 (2)	0.0050 (3)	4.87 (9)
0.6341 (1)	0.1508 (2)	0.0427 (3)	4.48 (8)
0.5810(1)	0.1783 (2)	0.0037 (3)	4.17 (7)
0.5631 (1)	0.2225 (2)	-0.1061 (3)	4.53 (8)
0.5998 (1)	0.2376 (2)	-0.1768 (3)	4.43 (8)
0.6555 (1)	0.2100 (2)	-0.1403 (3)	3.73 (7)
0.6730(1)	0.1661 (2)	-0.0294 (3)	3.92 (7)
0.3134 (1)	0.0238 (2)	-0.2182 (3)	4.39 (8)
0.3530 (2)	-0.0017 (2)	-0.2801 (3)	4.84 (8)
0.4078 (1)	0.0181 (2)	-0.2337 (3)	4.62 (8)
0.4796 (1)	0.0910 (2)	-0.0764 (3)	4.24 (7)
0.4910 (1)	0.1390 (2)	0.0236 (3)	4.03 (7)
0.4488 (1)	0.1634 (2)	0.0799 (3)	4.52 (8)
0.3949 (1)	0.1394 (2)	0.0324 (3)	4.52 (8)
0.3808 (1)	0.0896 (2)	-0.0724 (3)	3.58 (7)
0.4240 (1)	0.0659 (2)	-0.1274 (3)	3.65 (7)
0.1228 (1)	0.0762 (2)	-0.0782 (3)	4.65 (8)
0.1789 (1)	0.0619 (2)	-0.1072 (3)	5.09 (9)
0.2276 (1)	0.1464 (2)	0.0618 (3)	5.16 (9)
0.1254 (1)	0.1363 (2)	0.0223 (3)	4.95 (9)
0.0800 (2)	0.1000 (3)	-0.1991 (4)	5.7 (1)
0.0968 (2)	0.1685 (3)	-0.2608 (5)	8.1 (1)
0.1054 (2)	0.0019 (3)	-0.0205 (4)	6.9 (1)
0.0957 (6)	-0.0606(6)	-0.104(1)	34.6 (6)

 $\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 10-20^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.0846 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 294 K $0.40 \times 0.25 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$

2657 observed reflections

 $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ R_{int} = 0.017

 $\theta_{\rm max}$ = 27°

 $h = 0 \rightarrow 32$

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 23$

 $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$

3 standard reflections

frequency: 90 min

intensity variation: 1.5%

Table 2. Geometric parameters (Å, °)

		•	
01—C6	1.399 (4)	C7—C8	1.362 (5)
01C16	1.388 (4)	C8-C9	1.409 (4)
02	1.211 (5)	C9-C10	1.413 (4)
03-022	1.219 (5)	C12-C13	1.400 (5)
04-024	1.212 (5)	C13-C14	1.355 (5)
$N1 - C^2$	1.315 (4)	C14—C20	1.411 (4)
N1-C9	1.367 (5)	C15-C16	1.358 (5)
N11-C12	1.314 (4)	C15-C20	1.407 (4)
N11C19	1.365 (4)	C16-C17	1.407 (5)
N23-C22	1.360 (4)	C17—C18	1.358 (4)
N23-C24	1.375 (5)	C18-C19	1.419 (4)
N25-C24	1.373 (5)	C19-C20	1.414 (5)
N25-C26	1.366 (4)	C21-C22	1.516 (5)
C2-C3	1.399 (5)	C21-C26	1.522 (5)
C3-C4	1.359 (6)	C21-C27	1.519 (5)
C4-C10	1,419 (5)	C21-C29	1.569 (6)
C5-C6	1 352 (5)	$C_{27} - C_{28}$	1.501 (7)
C5-C10	1 416 (5)	$C_{29} - C_{30}$	1.41(1)
C6-C7	1.406 (5)		
	117.5 (2)	015 016 017	101 8 (2)
$C_0 = 0I = CI_0$	117.3 (2)	C15 - C10 - C17	121.0(3) 110.5(3)
$C_2 = N_1 = C_9$	117.0 (3)	C10 - C17 - C18	120.0 (3)
$C12 \rightarrow N11 \rightarrow C19$	117.9 (3)	C17 - C10 - C19	118 0 (2)
C22 = N25 = C24	120.2 (4)	N11 - C19 - C18	110.5(3) 1225(3)
$C_24 - N_2 - C_20$	123.9 (3)	C18 C19 C20	122.3(3) 119.6(3)
$n_{1} - c_{2} - c_{3}$	123.0 (4)	C16 - C19 - C20 C14 - C20 - C15	110.0(3) 123.4(3)
$C_2 = C_3 = C_4$	119.6 (3)	C14 = C20 = C13	123.4(3) 1168(3)
$C_{5} = C_{4} = C_{10}$	110.0 (3)	C14-C20-C19	110.8 (3)
01 C C C	119.5 (4)	$C_{13} - C_{20} - C_{13}$	113.8 (3)
01 - 06 - 07	110.2(3)	$C_{22} = C_{21} = C_{20}$	108 9 (4)
C5-C6-C7	122 1 (3)	$C_{22} = C_{21} = C_{27}$	107.5 (3)
C5-C7-C8	1194 (4)	$C^{26} - C^{21} - C^{27}$	109.0 (4)
$C_{1} - C_{2} - C_{3}$	1207(4)	C_{1}^{2}	105.2 (3)
N1_C9_C8	118.6 (3)	C_{7} C_{1} C_{9}	1127(3)
N1_C9_C10	122 1 (3)	$03 - C^{22} - N^{23}$	1199(3)
$C_{8} = C_{9} = C_{10}$	110 3 (3)	03 - C22 - C21	117.5(3) 1214(4)
C_{4} C_{10} C_{5}	1228(4)	N23_C22_C21	1187(3)
$C_{4} = C_{10} = C_{9}$	118.0 (3)	04_C24_N23	121 3 (3)
$C_{5} = C_{10} = C_{9}$	110.3 (3)	04 = C24 = N25	121.3(3) 122 1 (4)
N11_C12_C13	1237(3)	N23_C24_N25	1166(3)
$C_{12} - C_{13} - C_{14}$	123.7(3) 1189(3)	02 - C26 - N25	120.2 (3)
C12 = C13 = C14 = C20	120 1 (3)	02 - C26 - C21	121 3 (3)
C16 - C15 - C20	119 5 (3)	N25_C26_C21	1186(3)
01 - 016 - 015	1237(4)	C1_C7_C2	110.0(3) 114.2(3)
01 - 010 - 013	123.7(+)	$C_{21} - C_{20} - C_{20}$	114.2(3)
01-010-017	114.4 (3)	C21-C27-C30	114.7(7)

A solution of sodium barbital (1 mmol) in 10 ml H₂O was added dropwise to a solution of 6,6'-diquinolyl ether (1 mmol) in 15 ml absolute alcohol at room temperature (294 K), with constant stirring for 2 h. Brown stick-like or plate-like crystals were grown from the mixed solution after 10 d. The crystals were filtered, washed with H₂O and absolute alcohol. Analysis: calculated for $C_{26}H_{24}N_4O_4$: C 68.40, H 5.30, N 12.28%; found: C 68.18, H 5.12, N 12.40%.

Structure analysis was performed with the *SDP* program on a PDP11/44 computer. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods. The positions of 34 non-H atoms in the asymmetric unit were determined with *MULTAN*82 (Main *et al.*, 1982). The positions of all H atoms were determined by difference Fourier syntheses. The refinements were carried out with unit weights by full-matrix least squares for the coordinates of all atoms and anisotropic thermal parameters for the non-H atoms (isotropic thermal parameters for H atoms were fixed at 5 Å²).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 55380 (19 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: CR0411]

References

- Caira, M. R., Fazakerley, G. V., Linder, P. W. & Nassimbeni, L. R. (1973). Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 9, 1101-1104.
- Craven, B. M. & Gartland, G. L. (1970). J. Pharm. Sci. 59, 1666-1670.
- Gartland, G. L. & Craven, B. M. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 980-987.
- Hsu, I.-N. & Craven, B. M. (1974a). Acta Cryst. B30, 974-979.
- Hsu, I.-N. & Craven, B. M. (1974b). Acta Cryst. B30, 843-846.
- Hsu, I.-N. & Craven, B. M. (1974c). Acta Cryst. B30, 988-993.
- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kiryu, S. (1971). J. Pharm. Sci. 60, 699-703.
- Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1982). MULTAN82. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- Pezeshk, A., Greenaway, F. T. & Sorenson, J. R. J. (1983). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 80, 191-195.
- Voet, D. (1972). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 8213-8222.
- Voet, D. & Rich, A. (1972). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 5888-5891.
- Wang, X., Zhang, G. & Kong, Q. (1988). Ningxiadaxue Xuebao (Zirankexueban), 3, 70-76. [In Chinese.]
- Zhang, G., Tan, Z. & Li, Y. (1986). Yingyong Huaxue, 3(4), 48-50.

Acta Cryst. (1992). C48, 2098-2100

(E)-Methyl 2-Phenyl-2-(3-pyridyl)vinyl Sulfide

JACQUES METIN* AND DANIELLE ROCHE

Groupe de Recherche en Pharmacochimie, UFR de Pharmacie, BP 38, 63001 Clermont-Ferrand CEDEX, France

HENRI VESCHAMBRE

Laboratoire de Chimie Organique Biologique, URA 485 CNRS, Université Blaise-Pascal, 63117 Aubière CEDEX, France

MICHEL MADESCLAIRE*

Groupe de Recherche en Pharmacochimie, UFR de Pharmacie, BP 38, 63001 Clermont-Ferrand CEDEX, France

(Received 2 April 1992; accepted 6 July 1992)

Abstract

The unambiguous identification by X-ray diffraction of the (E) configuration of the title compound confirms a previous tentative assignment by NMR. The two planar rings (phenyl and pyridyl) are at an angle of about 70°. Crystal cohesion is ensured by a dense network of van der Waals contacts.

© 1992 International Union of Crystallography